

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

J&J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,  
as Broadcast Licensee of the March 8, 2014  
“Toe to Toe” Saul Alvarez v. Alfredo Angulo Light  
Middleweight Championship Fight Program,

Plaintiff,

V.

1) MANDO'S RIVER SEAFOOD RESTAURANT, INC., individually, and d/b/a CAMARON PELADO SEAFOOD GRILL;

2) PABLO PADILLA, individually, and d/b/a CAMARON PELADO SEAFOOD GRILL; and

3) IRMA PADILLA a/k/a IRMA ROMERO  
PADILLA, individually, and d/b/a CAMARON  
PELADO SEAFOOD GRILL,

Defendants.

§ § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §

Civil Action No. 5:17-cv-00162-DAE

## **FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

Before the Court is *Plaintiff's Motion for Final Default Judgment & Brief in Support* filed by Plaintiff J&J Sports Productions, Inc. ("Plaintiff"). Upon consideration of the Motion, the accompanying brief and evidence, the pleadings on file and the relevant authorities, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has established that it is an aggrieved party under the Federal Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 and 605 and recognizes Plaintiff's election to seek statutory damages. The Court also concludes that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to this action; that Defendants 1) Mando's River Seafood Restaurant, Inc., individually, and d/b/a Camaron Pelado Seafood Grill; 2) Pablo Padilla, individually, and d/b/a Camaron Pelado Seafood Grill; and 3) Irma Padilla a/k/a Irma Romero Padilla, individually, and d/b/a Camaron Pelado Seafood Grill (collectively "Defendants") failed to answer or otherwise defend

as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure following proper service; that the allegations in *Plaintiff's Original Complaint* are deemed admitted against Defendants; that Defendants exhibited the closed circuit March 8, 2014 "Toe to Toe" Saul Alvarez v. Alfredo Angulo Light Middleweight Championship Fight Program, including undercard or preliminary bouts, without authorization from Plaintiff; and that Defendants' actions were willful and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain. Therefore, additional damages are warranted in this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That Judgment by default be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and severally.
2. That Plaintiff recover statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) from Defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$10,000.00.
3. That Plaintiff recover additional damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii) from Defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$50,000.00.
4. That Plaintiff recover attorneys' fees from Defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$20,000.00; along with attorney's fees for post-trial and appellate services.
5. That Plaintiff recover the following conditional awards of attorney's fees from Defendants, jointly and severally, in the following circumstances:
  - a. Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) in the event a defendant files a motion to vacate, Rule 60 motion, motion for new trial, motion for reconsideration or other post-judgment, pre-appeal motion that does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action;
  - b. Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) in the event a defendant files an appeal to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals that does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action;

- c. Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) for making and/or responding to a petition for certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court that does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action;
- d. Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) for an appeal to the United States Supreme Court in the event a petition for certiorari review is granted and does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action; and

6. The Court also awards Plaintiff court costs and post-judgment interest on the amounts awarded herein at an annual rate of \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of this Judgment until paid.

7. All writs and process for the enforcement and collection of this Judgment may issue as necessary. In connection with any Writ of Execution in this case, the Court directs the United States Marshals Service to use any means or force reasonably necessary to satisfy this Judgment.

8. This Judgment is a final judgment.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED:** San Antonio, Texas, \_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

---

DAVID A. EZRA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE